IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 21889 of 1995

Between:

A.Venkata Ratnam S/O Anjiah
House.Mulgi NO.4-8-417, 263 & 416 Gouliguda
Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Muncipal Corporation of Hyderabad
Circle No.6 Putli Bouli
Hyderabad
2 The Commissioner of Muncipal Corporation
Tank Bund Hyderabad
3 The Station House Officer, Afzalgunj Police Station,
Afzal Gunj, Hyderabad
4 The Commissioner of Police (City)
Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, declaring the action of the first respondent in demolishing the three shutters of the petitioners house bearing No.4-8-417, 263& 416 situated at Gouli Guda, Hyderabad admeasuring to the extent of 38 and half Sq.yds, the action of the first respondent No.1 in further threatening to demolishing the three balconies of the ground, first and second floors of the house and the action of the third respondent in not providing the police protection in spite of the orders passed by the Civil Court, Hyderabad in favour of the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, viod and against the article 21, 300 (a) of the Constitution of India and direct the respondents not to demolish the three balconies of the ground, first and second floors of the house bearing No.4-8-417,263& 416 and direct the respondents No.3

and 4,. to provide the police protection to the petitioner herein in case the respondent No.1 & 2. try to demolish the balconies and further direct the first respondent to pay an amount of Rs.30,000/- towards compensation for the demolishing the three shutters and side walls high handedly.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.R.SANKU

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2: Mr.Ghanta Rama Rao

Counsel for the Respondents 3 & 4: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

It is submitted by the learned counsel for both the parties that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

The submission is placed on record, and the writ petition is dismissed as having

become infructuous. No order as to costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 2nd November, 2004.

LSK/TVK

To

1 The Commissioner of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Circle No.6, Putli Bouli,
Hyderabad
2 The Commissioner of Muncipal Corporation
Tank Bund, Hyderabad.
3 The Station House Officer, Afzalgunj Police Station,
Afzal Gunj, Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner of Police (City)
Hyderabad

5 Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Home, High Court Buildigns,
Hyderabad. (OUT)

6 Two C.D. copies.